UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,675	12/16/2003	Stephan J. Jourdan	2207/17047	2840
23838 . KENVON & 1	7590 09/19/2003 ZENVON LLP	1	EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON LLP 1500 K STREET N.W.			TREAT, WILLIAM M	
	SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			PAPER NUMBER
	,		2181	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•	70			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/735,675	JOURDAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	William M. Treat	2181			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be divided will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u> s	September 2007.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected.	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is contact the drawing(s) is contact the drawing(s) is contact the drawing(s).	see 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burer * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been recei au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date			

Art Unit: 2181

1. Claims 1-29 are presented for examination.

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 3. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
- 4. Applicants have amended independent claims 1, 15, 18, and 26 to recite: "wherein the checking predictions include predictions selected from a group comprising global, bimodal, return stack buffer and indirect predictions." There is no support for such a limitation in applicants' original disclosure, and for this reason the examiner considers the amendments to the claims to represent new matter which must be removed from the claims. Applicants' original disclosure does say on page 7, paragraph 25: "FIGS. 7 and 8 show a **next-line predictor** 72 that has a bimodal component 74, a global component 76, a return stack buffer (RSB) component 78 and an indirect branch component 80. The bimodal component 74 generates bimodal predictions 75 based on previous next-line predictions and the global component 76

Art Unit: 2181

generates global predictions 77 based on the previous next-line predictions. The global component 76 also generates indirect predictions 80 based on indirect branch values. The RSB component 78 generates return predictions 79 based on a return stack buffer value. The next-line predictor 72 selects from the bimodal predictions, the global predictions, the return predictions and the indirect predictions to obtain current next-line predictions. Thus, the set of predictions 73 generated by the next-line predictor 72 closely approximate the predictions of a more complex checking predictor." This is a statement of what the next-line predictor does and does not say that the checking predictor uses the same components as the next-line predictor.

- 5. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.
- 6. Claims 1-29 make reference to checking predictions generated by a checking predictor (element 86 in applicants' Fig. 2). On pages 4 and 5, paragraph 18, applicants state: "In the illustrated example, the next-line predictions 22, 24 have a latency of approximately one clock cycle, where the checking predictions 26, 28 have a latency of approximately three clock cycles. The longer latency of the checking predictions 26, 28 is due to the more complex prediction algorithms associated with the checking predictions 26, 28." On page 7, paragraph 25, applicants state: "FIGS. 7 and 8 show a next-line predictor 72 that has a bimodal component 74, a global component 76, a

Art Unit: 2181

return stack buffer (RSB) component 78 and an indirect branch component 80. The bimodal component 74 generates bimodal predictions 75 based on previous next-line predictions and the global component 76 generates global predictions 77 based on the previous next-line predictions. The global component 76 also generates indirect predictions 80 based on indirect branch values. The RSB component 78 generates return predictions 79 based on a return stack buffer value. The next-line predictor 72 selects from the bimodal predictions, the global predictions, the return predictions and the indirect predictions to obtain current next-line predictions. Thus, the set of predictions 73 generated by the next-line predictor 72 closely approximate the predictions of a more complex checking predictor. The examiner considers the checking predictor and how it functions to be essential to the enablement of applicants' claims and invention; yet, the description of the checking predictor is so limited as to preclude one of ordinary skill from constructing applicants' checking predictor and, as a result, practicing applicants' invention.

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 9. Each of applicants' claims make reference to generating checking predictions and claims 18-29 explicitly claim a checking predictor but applicants' description of applicants' checking predictor and how it functions to generate a checking prediction

Art Unit: 2181

issues.

is so limited as to preclude the examiner from determining the true metes and bounds of applicants' claims. Surely, applicants cannot claim to have conceived of/invented all forms of checking predictor which are more complex than their next line predictor; therefore, the examiner cannot determine, with any clarity, the limits to applicants' claimed invention. See paragraphs 4 and 6, *supra*, for a further explanation of related

Page 5

- 10. Applicants have argued that which the examiner has rejected as new matter enables their claims to overcome the examiner's 35 USC 112 rejections of their claims. As the amendments are new matter, the examiner considers their argument to be non-persuasive.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to William M. Treat at telephone number (571) 272-4175.
- 12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William M. Treat

Primary Examiner